US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

# Pesticide Worker Safety Program: Enhancements in Protections



Amy Brown, U. MD Pesticide Safety Ed. Program representing
American Association of Pesticide Safety Educators (AAPSE)

## Input/Process: Safety Educators

- Three AAPSE members serve on the Workgroup:
  - Kerry Hoffman, Penn State Pesticide Safety Education Program (PSEP)
  - Carol Ramsay, Washington State PSEP Amy Brown, U. Maryland PSEP
- Work as a team to get input from AAPSE membership
  - -- certification personnel
  - -- trainers (mostly, but not all, Extension Pesticide Safety Educators)
- Drafted document for review by AAPSE members after first Workgroup meeting in February 2006
- Met with members of AAPSE Executive Committee plus additional AAPSE members in early March 2006; resulted in input provided to EPA on March 14, 2006

## AAPSE Input: Overarching Comments

- Very difficult to provide meaningful, substantive comment in this early stage because few issues have been defined to the point where we could truly assess
  - (1) whether the change would actually improve personal safety, environment, security
  - (2) the impact in terms of resources (personnel, time, funds) on training/education/certification and allied programs
- Areas not identified as having a HIGH level of benefit may still be viable options that AAPSE would support
- Properly implementing changes will necessarily call for additional resources.

#### AAPSE's comments on C&T regulation changes

Proposed C&T Change	Most Benefit	Most Significant Programmatic Impact
Expand scope of users required to demonstrate competency	High	Extremely high impact on Ext & SLA
Better define "under the supervision"	High	Extremely high impact on Ext & SLA
Require RUP dealers to prove competency		High impact on SLA
Require persons training workers to be competent***		High impact on Ext & SLA
Set minimum age for occupational users	+(	-
Require testing for all occupational users		High impact on SLA
Set standard requirements for testing		High impact on SLA

Orange font indicates issue needs most discussion

\*\*\* Issue changed since discussion and comment by AAPSE

### AAPSE's comments on C&T regulation changes

Proposed C&T Change (red font indicates most discussion needed)	Most Benefit	Most Significant Programmatic Impact
Set competency requirements consistent with risk	High	
Define requirements for recertification of applicators (ensure continued competency)		<b></b>
Establish process to update content of recertification programs (ensure maintenance of current knowledge)	High	
Update plan requirements for states/tribes/territories	4	
Develop standard certification categories		High impact on Ext & SLA
Assure program accountability		<del>-</del>

Orange font indicates issue needs most discussion

### **AAPSE's comments on WPS regulation changes**

Proposed WPS Change (red font indicates most discussion needed)	Most Benefit	Most Significant Programmatic Impact
Ensure meaningful hazard communication		High impact on Ext & SLA
Ensure meaningful training		
Require trainers to demonstrate competency	High	High impact on SLA
Establish training verification system		High impact on SLA
Protect children from pesticide-treated fields	High	<b></b>
Clarify vague WPS provisions	-	-
Clarify exceptions	-	-
Exempt certified crop advisors & aerial applicators		
Require handlers to demonstrate competency	High	Extremely high impact on Ext & SLA
Express regulation in plain language	-	-
Assure program accountability	-	-

## AAPSE's Continuing Role

- AAPSE members on the PPDC workgroup will continue to solicit and compile input from members on these issues
- Advance distribution of materials will be critical to obtaining broad, meaningful feedback
- AAPSE remains concerned about the diminution in federal funds to support state Pesticide Safety Education Programs under current regulations. How will additional responsibilities of both Extension and SLAs be conducted without a very significant increase in funds?